MLD MAX CLINICAL DECISION MAKING WORKSHEET

| Pre-PCI Strategize | | |
|---|---|---|
| <u>M</u> ORPHOLOGY | <u>L</u> ENGTH | D IAMETER⁵ |
| High Calcium ¹ Criteria: >180 degrees >0.5 mm thickness >5 mm in length Common Practice: ² NC Balloon, IVL, Cutting/Scoring Balloon, or Atherectomy Note Nodular Calcium: Atherectomy. Not recommended to do balloon deployment prior to atherectomy, due to creation of dissection. | Select Landing Zones ³ Visually scan for largest luminal area in lumen profile proximally and distally Place landing zones in healthy tissue (as determined by greatest EEL visualization) Adjust to select an available stent length Note In the absence of EEL to represent healthy tissue find the largest lumen to avoid areas of TCFA or lipid pools so as to not land your stent edge in these high risk areas ⁴ | Measure Vessel Diameter Take EEL measurements at each reference (lumen if EEL not visible) Choose Stent Diameter Use the distal reference measurements to select stent diameter EEL Measurements Average two perpendicular EEL measurements Round down to the next quarter size, unless already at a stent size Lumen Measurements Use automatic measurements at distal reference Round up to the next quarter size, even if already at a stent size Choose Post Dilatation Balloon Diameter Distal Balloon: Use distal reference measurement |
| Post-PCI Optimize | | |
| M EDIAL DISSECTION | A PPOSITION | <u>X</u> PANSION |
| Address Significant Dissection ⁴ Criteria: Dissection penetrates medial layer, and is greater than 1 quadrant arc Common Practice: ^{4,5} Place an additional stent, particularly for distal dissections | Address Gross Malapposition Criteria: Malapposition indicator shows longer than 3 mm ⁵ of significant (≥0.3 mm from wall ⁶) malapposition Common Practice: ⁴ If stent is fully expanded, dilate with semi-compliant balloon at low pressure | Confirm Expansion ^{4,7} Criteria: ≥80% acceptable, ≥90% expansion is optimal Common Practice: ⁸ If not achieved, post-dilate with non-compliant balloon; use target diameter measurement and round up to next available balloon size Note After one post-dilatation, physican discretion should be used for further treatment |
| Strategize | | Pre-PCI |
| MORPHOLOGY | LENGTH | DIAMETER |
| At which location (in millimeters) does the Minimum Lumen Area (MLA) occur? mm Is high calcium present in the vicinity (plus/minus 10 mm) yes / no of the MLA? What, if any, vessel preparation or strategy could be chosen to address this morphology? | Where should the distal and proximal reference markers be placed (from millimeters to millimeters), why? mm → mm After adjusting your references, what stent length do you recommend? mm | What are the External Elastic Lamina (EEL) measurements at the distal and proximal reference points? (or Lumen if EEL is not visible) Distal: mm Proximal: mm Which diameter stent do you recommend? mm What size post-dilatation balloon would you recommend for each segment? Distal: X Proximal: X |
| Optimize | | Post-PCI |
| MEDIAL DISSECTION Are there any medial dissections? Identify the yes / no mm location (in millimeters). | APPOSITION Is the apposition considered major or minor? Major: Minor: | XPANSION What is the minimum expansion (%EXP) in the stented segment? What would you do next? |
| What would you do next? | What would you do next? | which segment, if any, would you treat for under expansion, why? mm → mm mm → mm |
| Common Practice: ⁴⁵ Place additional stent (particularly for <i>distal</i> dissections) X mm | Common Practice: If stent is fully expanded, dilate with semi-compliant balloon at low pressure. ⁴ If stent expansion has not been achieved, post-dilate with non-compliant balloon ⁸ X mm | If yes, what size NC balloon would you use? Balloon diameter and length: X mmATM X mmATM |



Information contained herein for DISTRIBUTION outside of the U.S. ONLY. Check the regulatory status of the device in areas where CE marking is not the regulation in force.

1. Fujino, A. et al. A new optical coherence tomography-based calcium scoring system to predict stent under expansion. *EuroIntervention*, April 2018; 13(18):e2182-e2189.

Sorini Dini, C. et al. Contemporary approach to heavily calcified coronary lesions. *Interventional Cardiology Review*, 2019;14(3):154–63.; Seth, A. et al. Optimising stent deployment in contemporary practice: The role of intracoronary imaging and non-compliant balloons. *Interventional Cardiology Review*, 2017;12(2):81–4.; Okura, H. et al. Mechanisms of acute lumen gain following cutting balloon angioplasty in calcified and noncalified lesions. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 2002: Vol. 57:429–436.; Abdel-Wahab, M. et al. The randomized PREPARE-CALC Trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*, October 2018: 11:e007415.; Levine, G. et al. 2011 ACCF/AHA/SCAI Guidelines for PCI. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 2012: Vol 79:453–495.; Kini, A. et al. Optical Coherence Tomography Assessment of the Mechanistic Effects of Rotational and Orbital Atherectomy in Severely Calcified Coronary Lesions. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 2015; 86:1024–1032.; Okamoto, N. et al.
 Procedural and one-year outcomes of patients treated with orbital and rotational atherectomy with mechanistic insights from optical coherence tomography. EuroIntervention, 2019;14:1760-1767., Chambers, J. et al. ORBIT II Trial. *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions*, May 2014: Vol 7, NO 5: 510-8.; Lee, M. et al. Multicenter Registry of Real-World Patients With Severely Calcified Coronary Lesions Undergoing Orbital Atherectomy: 1-Year Outcomes. *The Journal of Invasive Cardiology*, April 2018: Vol. 30, No. 4, 121-124.; Brinton, T. et al. Feasibility of Shockwave Coronary Intravascular Lithorips for the Treatment of Calcified Coronary Stenoses. Circulation, 2019; 139:834–836.; Ali, Z. et al. OCT Characterization of Coronary Lithorips for the atherent of Calcified Lesions. *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging*, 2015: Vol. 10, No. 8, Pages 897–906.
 Prati, F. et al. The CLI-OPCI II Study. *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging*, 2015: Vol 8, No. 11:1297-305.

4. Kubo, T. et al. Application of Optical Coherence Tomography in Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *Circulation Journal*, September 2012: Vol. 76, 2076-2083.

5. Ali, Z. et al. ILUMIEN III: Optimize PCI. Lancet 2016, 388:2618-2628.

6. Souteyrand, G. et al. PESTO French Registry. European Heart Journal, 2016:37:1208-1216.

7. Meneveau, N. et al. DOCTORS Study. *Circulation*, September 2016, 134:906-917.; Zhang, J. et al. The ULTIMATE Trial. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, Dec 2018: Vol 72, No 24:3126-37.; Russo, R. et al. The AVID Trial. *Circ Cardiovasc Intervent*, April 2009; 2:113-123.; De Jaegere, P. et al. MUSIC Study. *European Heart Journal*, February 1998:19,1214-1223.

8. Romagnoli, E. et al. Drug-Eluting Stenting: The Case for Post-Dilation. *JACC Cardiovascular Interventions*, 2008:1:22–31.; Seth, A. et al. Optimising stent deployment in contemporary practice: The role of intracoronary imaging and non-compliant balloons. *Interventional Cardiology Review*, 2017;12(2):81–4.; Gerbay, A. et al. Impact of very high pressure stent deployment on angiographic and long-term clinical outcomes in true coronary bifurcation lesions treated by the mini-crush stent technique. *Indian Heart Journal*, 2017;Vol. 69:32–36.

CAUTION: This product is intended for use by or under the direction of a physician. Prior to use, reference the Instructions for Use, inside the product carton (when available) or at *vascular.eifu.abbott* or at *medical.abbott/manuals* for more detailed information on Indications, Contraindications, Warnings, Precautions and Adverse Events. This material is intended for use with healthcare professionals only.

Information contained herein for DISTRIBUTION outside of the U.S. ONLY. Check the regulatory status of the device in areas where CE marking is not the regulation in force.

Abbott International BVBA Park Lane, Culliganlaan 2B, 1831 Diegem, Belgium, Tel: 32.2.714.14.11 www.cardiovascular.abbott ©2023 Abbott. All rights reserved. MAT-2002165 v2.0 Abbott